



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Handwritten signature

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,038	05/04/2001	Eric C. Haseltine	530057-189	8458

33717 7590 12/18/2003

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E
SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

EXAMINER

WU, XIAO MIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2674

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Handwritten number 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/849,038

Applicant(s)

HASELTINE ET AL.

Examiner

XIAO M. WU

Art Unit

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Teng et al. (US Patent No. 6,165,546).

As to claims 22 and 24, Teng discloses a visual display system comprising: an electronic display device having a display; and a filter gamut of the display relative to the display and having bandstops which increase color gamut of the display wherein the bandstops shift the primary colors of the display closer to the spectrum locus. For example, as shown in Fig. 3, Teng shown the color gamut has been increased with a filter placed in front of the CRT, and as shown in Fig. 2, the filter has bandstops which shift the primary colors of the display closer to the spectrum locus.

As to claim 23, Teng discloses the bandstops provide a first notch centered about 450nm and a second notch centered about 530nm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 18-21, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al (US Patent No. 5,909,291) in view of Teng et al. (US Patent No. 6,165,546).

As to claims 1, 12, 18, 25, Myers discloses a visual display system having an improved color gamut, comprising: a display device; a color signal translator; input color data; the color signal translator (or a lookup table) translating the input color data according to properties of a medium to emulated by the display device; the display device receiving and displaying the translated color data. It is noted that Myers does not disclose an optical filter for filtering an output of the display device and the optical filter having a stop region located between

Art Unit: 2674

wavelengths corresponding to two additive primary colors. Teng is cited to teach using an optical filter for filtering an output of the display device and the optical filter having a stop region located between wavelengths corresponding to two additive primary colors (see Figs. 2 and 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Myers with the features of the optical filters for filtering the display as taught by Teng because Teng provides an article to enhance the contrast of images from a color display monitor without significantly sacrificing brightness of the image (col. 2, lines 41-44).

As to claims 2, 8, 13, Myers discloses the properties include a color gamut, gamma, and dynamic range of the medium to be emulated (Figs. 2, 14).

As to claim 3, Myers discloses that the filter has at least a first peak gamut centered at approximately either one of red, green, or blue wavelengths (see Fig. 2)>

As to claims 5, 10, Teng discloses that the filter is a dual bandstop filter (see Fig. 2).

As to claims 6, 11, 19, Teng discloses the bandstops provide a first notch centered about 450nm and a second notch centered about 530nm.

As to claims 7, 27, note the discussion of claim 1 above. Teng further discloses that the filter relatively attenuates non-primary colors (e.g. absorb wavelength other than the primary color wavelength, see col. 3, lines 4-9).

As to claim 20, Myers and Teng disclose that the color signal comprises at least one component primary color from the group of red, green and blue.

As to claim 21, Myers and Teng disclose that the color display device comprises a cathode ray tube display device.

As to claim 26, note the discussion of claim 1 above, Myers further disclose emulating the appearance of color film (col. 1, line 18).

6. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al (US Patent No. 5,909,291) in view of Teng et al. (US Patent No. 6,165,546) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rahmlow (US Patent No. 5,523,882).

As to claims 4, 9, it is noted that Teng does not disclose that the optical filter is a rugate filter. However, rugate filter is well known in the art such as taught by Rahmlow. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the optical filter of Teng with the features of the rugate filter as taught by Rahmlow because the rugate filter of Rahmlow has an index of refraction versus optical thickness profile that effectively suppresses harmonics of the principle wavelength for which the filter is designed (col. 2, lines 32-35).

7. Claims 14, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al (US Patent No. 5,909,291) in view of Teng et al. (US Patent No. 6,165,546) and De Leeuw (US patent No. 5,057,912).

As to claim 14, Myers discloses a visual system comprising: a means (15) for altering a digital color signal according to a color gamut to be produced and producing an altering digital color signal. It is noted that Myers does not disclose a single aperture projector and a filter for filtering a projection of light and the filter altering the spectral bandwidths of at least one of the component primaries of the projection. De Leeuw is cited to teach a single aperture projector including a filter (see Figs. 1 and 3). Teng is further cited to teach a filter placed in front of the screen for altering the spectral bandwidths of primary colors whereby the color gamut is produced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Myers

with the features of the projector and filter as taught by Teng and De leeuw so that the digital color signal can be reproduced in a projection system and improve the gamut of the color display.

As to claim 16, Teng discloses that the filter is a dual bandstop filter (see Fig. 2).

As to claim 17, Teng discloses the bandstops provide a first notch centered about 450nm and a second notch centered about 530nm.

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al (US Patent No. 5,909,291) in view of Teng et al. (US Patent No. 6,165,546) and De Leeuw (US patent No. 5,057,912) as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Rahmlow (US Patent No. 5,523,882).

As to claim 15, it is noted that Teng does not disclose that the optical filter is a rugate filter. However, rugate filter is well known in the art such as taught by Rahmlow. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the optical filter of Teng with the features of the rugate filter as taught by Rahmlow because the rugate filter of Rahmlow has an index of refraction versus optical thickness profile that effectively suppresses harmonics of the principle wavelength for which the filter is designed (col. 2, lines 32-35).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The US Patents 4,386,345, 5,523,114, 6,163,308, 6,366,291, 6,388,648 are cited to teach an optical display device.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiao Wu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4721.

Art Unit: 2674

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:


(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377

xw

December 15, 2003


XIAO WU
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2674